

From: [Moore, Gary](#)  
To: [Crawford, Beth](#)  
Subject: Re: VB640, VB620, FT1002, FT506  
Date: Thursday, September 25, 2014 3:05:44 PM

---

**Beth:**

Looking at the data again, it looks like VB620 and FT1002 are non-haz if you look at the totals data for the chemicals that were not able to be resolved by TCLP.

Thanks

**Gary Moore**

**Federal On-Scene Coordinator**

**U.S. EPA Region 6**

**214-789-1627 cell**

**214-665-6609 office**

**[moore.gary@epa.gov](mailto:moore.gary@epa.gov)**

---

From: Moore, Gary  
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2014 1:58 PM  
To: Crawford, Beth  
Subject: CES: VB640, VB620, FT1002, FT506

**Beth:**

The following vac boxes and frac tanks are hazardous only because we could not resolve some of the contaminants because of matrix interferences. We could possibly use another lab and have them analyze for the following:

**VB640: TCLP Pesticides and ABN**

**VB620: TCLP ABN**

**FT 506: TCLP Pesticides**

**South Containment: TCLP Pesticides**

**FT1002: TCLP ABN**

We may then be able to resolve the haz vs non-haz issue. I am thinking that Accutest is more of a precision lab and does not want to risk their equipment and is therefore very cautious. I have Weston looking at other labs that do nasty waste all the time like A&B. I am not sure what the difference would be in cost from Lonestar for FT1002 if we did not have the issue with the detection limit. What do you think?

Thanks,

**Gary Moore**

**Federal On-Scene Coordinator**

**U.S. EPA Region 6**

**214-789-1627 cell**

**214-665-6609 office**

**[moore.gary@epa.gov](mailto:moore.gary@epa.gov)**